

**ENHANCING RIO SYNERGIES THROUGH ANTALYA LAND RESTORATION  
FOR COP31 DIALOGUE**

**Participant Views and Action Agenda Survey**

**1. Is land the common implementation ground and critical interface of the agendas for combating climate change, biodiversity loss, and land degradation?**

- Yes
- No
- Partially
- No Opinion

**2. What should be the national-level priority for land restoration? Please rank the following factors in order of importance. (Most important = 1, Lowest = 6)**

<b>Factor</b>	<b>Priority Rank</b>
Institutional capacity and coordination	
Strategic planning	
Technical knowledge and expertise	
Financing mechanisms	
Political ownership	
Other (Please specify)	

**3. Is Rio Synergy necessary in terms of regular information sharing and joint decision-making mechanisms among the three COP processes?**

- Yes
- No
- Partially
- No Opinion

**4. Is there an institutional mechanism or working group in your country that supports the Rio Synergy approach?**

- Yes
- No
- Partially
- No Opinion

**5. If your answer to Question 4 above is Yes, is there sufficient coordination among the activities carried out within this scope?**

- Yes
- No
- Partially
- No Opinion

**6. What are the most significant barriers to implementing Rio Synergy? (Most important = 1, Lowest = 6)**

Factor	Priority Rank
Policy incompatibility	
Lack of institutional coordination	
Insufficient financing	
Lack of data and information	
Lack of technical capacity	
Other (Please specify)	

**7. Within the scope of the COP31 Antalya Climate Agenda, which of the following areas should the Rio Synergy approach prioritize? (Most important = 1, Lowest = 7)**

Factor	Priority Rank
New international initiatives	
Knowledge and experience sharing mechanisms	
Joint project development platforms	
Joint financing mechanisms	
Establishment/alignment of national targets and commitments	
Capacity building	
Other (Please specify)	

**8. Can concrete and actionable global outputs for Rio Synergy be achieved through national targets, capacity building efforts, and joint implementation mechanisms?**

- Yes
- No
- Partially
- No Opinion

**9. If your answer to Question 8 is "No" or "Partially", please state the main reasons.**

**10. Which areas should be prioritized in the initial phase of Rio Synergy implementation? (Most important = 1, Lowest = 6)**

Factor	Priority Rank
Institutional coordination mechanism	
Joint secretariat structure	
Joint strategy document	
Financing mechanisms	
Capacity building	
Other (Please specify)	

**11. Is there sufficient technical and institutional infrastructure in your country to implement Sustainable Land Management and Decision Support Systems?**

- Yes
- No
- Partially
- No Opinion

**12. Which institutions, funds, or stakeholders should play a primary role in financing Rio Synergy joint implementation programs?**

**13. What should be the key outputs of the Antalya Enhancing Rio Synergies through Land Restoration Dialogue meeting?**

**14. What elements should distinguish and make the Antalya Enhancing Rio Synergies through Land Restoration Dialogue Programme innovative compared to other Rio Synergy initiatives?**

**15. Which countries, institutions, international organizations, or stakeholder groups should be involved in this structure?**

**16. In order to build institutional and implementation bridges between the upcoming UNCCD COP17, CBD COP17, and COP31 processes, which of the following areas should be prioritized? (Most important = 1, Lowest = 6)**

<b>Factor</b>	<b>Priority Rank</b>
Joint policy development	
Joint financing mechanisms	
Joint reporting and data sharing	
Joint pilot implementations	
Capacity building programmes	
Other (Please specify)	

**17. What additional views and suggestions do you have for the Rio Synergy approach to be effective at a global scale within the Antalya COP31 process?**